McDermott Will&Emery

RECEIVED FEC MAIL OPERATIONS CENTER 2005 JUL 18 P 3: 05
Bobby R. Burchfield
They at Law

bburchfield@mwe.com 202,756,8003

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf London Los Angeles Miami Milan Munich New York Orange County Rome San Diego Silicon Valley Washington, D.C.

July 15, 2005

BY HAND DELIVERY

Edward D. Ryan Campaign Finance Analyst Reports Analysis Division Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463

Letter dated June 15, 2005, regarding October Monthly Report (9/1/04-9/30/04) Re:

Dear Mr. Ryan:

On behalf my client, the Republican Party of Louisiana ("the Party"), I am writing in response to the Commission's letter dated June 15, 2005, regarding the Party's October Monthly Report (9/1/04-9/30/04). Please note that the Party filed an amendment to the October Monthly Report on July 8, 2005. The amendment addressed an issue raised by the Commission concerning the August Monthly Report (7/1/04-7/31/04).

First, the Commission asked the Party to classify a receipt listed on Line 17 of the October Monthly Report. On or about September 3, 2004, The Billy Tauzin Congressional Committee transferred \$35,000 in excess campaign funds to the Party. The Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended, permits principal candidate committees to transfer unlimited sums to state political parties. See 2 U.S.C. § 439a; 11 C.F.R. § 113.2(c). Therefore, the Party properly listed the receipt on Line 17. For additional clarification, the Party has designated the itemization as a "transfer of excess funds" on the amendment to the October Monthly Report.

Second, the Commission has asked the Party to clarify all expenditures made for a "Facility" Rental" on Schedule B and Schedule H of the original October Monthly Report.

On Schedule B, the Party's report listed a \$2,750 payment to 401 Veterans Limited on September 1, 2004. The purpose of the disbursement was listed as a "Facility Rental." In response to the Commission's request, the Party has amended the purpose of disbursement to clarify that the payment was for an office rental. The Party did not rent the office on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.

- On Schedule B, the Party's report listed a \$2,000 payment to the Cajun Dome on September 22, 2004. The purpose of the disbursement was listed as a "Facility Rental." In response to the Commission's request, the Party has amended the purpose of disbursement to clarify that the payment was for a State Party Convention. The Party did not rent the facility on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- On Schedule H4, the Party's report listed a \$1,286 payment to Jacquin Grand Children,
 LLC on September 1, 2004. The purpose of the disbursement was listed as a "Facility
 Rental." In response to the Commission's request, the Party has amended the purpose of
 disbursement to clarify that the payment was for an office rental. The Party did not rent
 the office on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- On Schedule H4, the Party's report listed a \$1,925 payment to R&C Properties, LLC on September 1, 2004. The purpose of the disbursement was listed as a "Facility Rental." In response to the Commission's request, the Party has amended the purpose of disbursement to clarify that the payment was for an office rental. The Party did not rent the office on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- On Schedule H4, the Party's report listed a \$44 payment to The Storage Center on September 13, 2004. The purpose of the disbursement was listed as a "Facility Rental." In response to the Commission's request, the Party has amended the purpose of disbursement to clarify that the payment was for office storage rental. The Party did not rent the storage space on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.

Third, the Commission requested a clarification of the terms "Admin – Professional Services, Professional Services, FEA Consulting, and Fundraising and Consulting," which the Party used to describe disbursements listed on Schedule B and Schedule H4 of its original October Monthly Report. The Party's report listed thirteen disbursements with these descriptions:

- A \$3,500 payment to PR Louisiana on September 28, 2004 for "Fundraising Consulting."
 The Party's amendment explains that the purpose of this disbursement was "Fundraiser Event Coordinator." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- A \$1,219.22 payment to Direct Mailing Services, Inc. on September 22, 2004 for "FEA Consulting." The Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was "Strategy Consultant." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- A \$6,250 payment to Sentinel 21 on September 27, 2004 for "FEA Consulting." The
 Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was
 "Volunteer Coordinator." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically
 identified federal candidate.

- A \$185 payment to Anna Thompson on September 7, 2004 for "Admin Professional Services." The Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was "clerical services." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- A \$360 payment to Connor Best on September 7, 2004 for "Admin Professional Services." The Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was "clerical services." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- A \$147.50 payment to Jeanne Leveque on September 7, 2004 for "Admin Professional Services." The Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was "clerical services." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- A \$80 payment to Nicole Licardi on September 7, 2004 for "Admin Professional Services." The Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was "clerical services." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- A \$520 payment to Postlethwaite & Netterville on September 13, 2004 for "Professional Services." The Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was "Accounting Services." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- A \$2,760 payment to Postlethwaite & Netterville on September 17, 2004 for "Professional Services." The Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was "Accounting Services." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- A \$122.50 payment to Anna Thompson on September 22, 2004 for "Professional Services." The Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was "clerical services." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.
- A \$345 payment to Connor Best on September 22, 2004 for "Professional Services." The
 Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was
 "clerical services." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified
 federal candidate.
- A \$195 payment to Jeanne Leveque on September 22, 2004 for "Admin Professional Services." The Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this

disbursement was "clerical services." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.

 A \$365 payment to Nicole Licardi on September 22, 2004 for "Admin – Professional Services." The Party's amendment to this report explains that the purpose for this disbursement was "clerical services." This disbursement was not on behalf of any specifically identified federal candidate.

From June 8, 2005, through July 8, 2005, the Commission delivered a total of thirteen letters to the Party, each asking numerous specific questions about the Party's 2004 campaign finance disclosures. Eight of the letters listed deadlines for July 15, 2005. To date, the Party has filed eight report amendments in response to these inquiries. Although this reply does not address some of the issues raised by the Commission's July 15 letters, we have worked diligently to investigate each matter, and will continue to do so in order to ensure accurate reporting. Because of the Party's obligation to certify that each report is "true, correct and complete," it is inappropriate for the Party to submit additional amended reports at this time. The Party will submit necessary amendments in the coming days after concluding its investigation of questions raised by the Commission.

If you have questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at (202) 756-8003.

Sincerely,

Bobby R. Burchfield

(3/2005)

Federal Election Commission **ENVELOPE REPLACEMENT PAGE FOR INCOMING DOCUMENTS** The FEC added this page to the end of this filing to indicate how it was received. Date of Receipt Hand Delivered Postmarked **USPS First Class Mail** Postmarked (R/C) USPS Registered/Certified Postmarked USPS Priority Mail Delivery Confirmation™ or Signature Confirmation™ Label Postmarked **USPS Express Mail** Postmark Illegible No Postmark **Shipping Date** Overnight Delivery Service (Specify): Next Business Day Delivery Date of Receipt Received from House Records & Registration Office Date of Receipt Received from Senate Public Records Office Date of Receipt Received from Electronic Filing Office Date of Receipt or Postmarked Other (Specify): DATE PREPARED PREPARER